

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/624,357 | 07/21/2003 | Aaron Scott Lukas | 06381P USA | 7231 |
| 23543 7590 06/04/2007 AIR PRODUCTS AND CHEMICALS, INC. PATENT DEPARTMENT | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | RODGERS, COLLEEN E | |
| | TON BOULEVARD N, PA 181951501 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | 2813 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/04/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/624,357 | LUKAS ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| • | Colleen E. Rodgers | 2813 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 M</u> | ay 2007. | | | | | |
| · <u>=</u> | · | | | | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 30,31,38,39,43,44 and 48-61 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 30,31,38,39,43,44 and 48-61 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement | | | | | |
| are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| | ammer, Note the attached Office | Action of form 1 10-132. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list | or the certified copies not receive | 30. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | , CT | (770.440) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | Patent Application | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/624,357 Page 2

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9 May 2007 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 50 and 57 are objected to because of the following informalities: in both claims, remove one of the duplicate instances of the compounds "methyltriethoxysilane" and "ditertbutylsilane" (also included as "di-tert-butylsilane"). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 30, 31, 39, 44, 49, 51, 56 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Ko et al** (US Patent Application Publication 2001/0055891) in view of the article

by Waldfried et al, "Single Wafer RapidCuringTM of Porous Low-k Materials," *IEEE*, 2002, pp. 226-228.

Regarding claim 30, **Ko et al** disclose a mixture for depositing an organosilicate film comprising a dielectric constant of 3.5 or below [see paragraph 0010], the mixture comprising at least one structure-former precursor of an organosilane [see paragraphs 0027-0029] and a pore-former precursor that is distinct from the at least one structure-former precursor, wherein the pore-former precursor is a hydrocarbon having from 1 to 13 carbon atoms [see paragraph 0036]. **Ko et al** do not disclose wherein said film exhibits an absorbance of 200 to 400 nm wavelength. **Ko et al** would look to one such as **Waldfried et al** for a porous low-k film, because **Waldfried et al** disclose wherein a film formed thus would exhibit an absorbance in the 200 to 400 nm wavelength range. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify **Ko et al** using the mixture of **Waldfried et al** because **Waldfried et al** disclose improved low-k properties and reduced process times and process temperatures [see **Waldfried et al**, Abstract].

Regarding claim 31, **Ko** et al disclose a mixture for depositing an organosilicate film, the mixture comprising: from 5 to 95% by weight of a structure-former precursor of an organosilane [see paragraphs 0027-0029] and from 5 to 95% by weight of a pore-former precursor that is distinct from the at least one structure-former precursor, wherein the pore-former precursor is a hydrocarbon having from 1 to 13 carbon atoms [see paragraph 0036]. **Ko** et al do not disclose wherein said film exhibits an absorbance of 200 to 400 nm wavelength. **Ko** et al would look to one such as **Waldfried** et al for a porous low-k film, because **Waldfried** et al disclose wherein a film formed thus would exhibit an absorbance in the 200 to 400 nm wavelength range. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify **Ko** et al using the

5.

mixture of Waldfried et al because Waldfried et al disclose improved low-k properties and reduced process times and process temperatures [see Waldfried et al, Abstract].

Regarding claims 39 and 44, the prior art of **Ko et al** and **Waldfried et al** disclose the mixtures of claims 30 and 31, respectively, furthermore wherein the pore-former precursor is removable by ultraviolet radiation [see paragraph 0036].

Regarding claims 49 and 56, the prior art of **Ko et al** and **Waldfried et al** disclose the mixtures of claims 30 and 31, respectively, furthermore wherein the at least one structure-former precursor comprises an organosilane [see paragraphs 0027-0029].

Regarding claims 51 and 58, the prior art of **Ko et al** and **Waldfried et al** disclose the mixtures of claims 30 and 31, respectively, furthermore wherein the at least one structure-former precursor comprises an organosiloxane [see Example 5, paragraph 0055].

Claims 38 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ko et al

(US Patent Application Publication 2001/0055891) in view of the article by Waldfried et al, "Single Wafer RapidCuring™ of Porous Low-k Materials," *IEEE*, 2002, pp. 226-228 as applied to claims 30 and 31 above, and further in view of Li et al (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0151031). The prior art of Ko et al and Waldfried et al disclose the mixtures of claims 30 and 31 above. Neither Ko et al nor Waldfried et al disclose wherein the hydrocarbon is selected from the group consisting of alpha-terpinene, limonene, cyclohexane, gamma-terpinene, dimethylhexadiene, ethylbenzene, norbornadiene, cyclopentene oxide, 1,2,4-trimethylcyclohexane, 1,5-dimethyl-1,5-cyclooctadiene, camphene, adamantane, 1,3-butadiene, substituted dienes, alpha-pinene, beta-pinene or decahydronaphthelene. Li et al disclose a mixture for depositing an organosilicate film with a dielectric constant lower than 3 [see paragraph 0005], which is formed by inclusion of a pore-former

precursor, or poragen, wherein the poragen may be a hydrocarbon, including adamantane [see paragraph 0136]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the poragen disclosed by **Li et al** in the mixture of **Ko et al** because **Li et al** disclose that adamantane is one of several art-recognized useful poragen materials.

6. Claims 48, 50, 52, 53, 55, 57, 59 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Ko et al** (US Patent Application Publication 2001/0055891) in view of the article by **Waldfried et al**, "Single Wafer RapidCuringTM of Porous Low-k Materials," *IEEE*, 2002, pp. 226-228 as applied to claims 30, 31, 39, 44, 49, 51, 56 and 58 above, and further in view of **Edelstein et al** (US Patent Application Publication 2005/0194619).

Regarding claims 48 and 55, the prior art of **Ko et al** and **Waldfried et al** disclose the mixtures of claims 30 and 31 above. Neither **Ko et al** nor **Waldfried et al** disclose specifically wherein the organosilicate film is represented by the formula Si_vO_wC_xH_yF_z, where v+w+x+y+z = 100%, v is from 10 to 35 atomic%, w is from 10 to 65 atomic%, x is from 5 to 30 atomic%, y is from 10 to 50 atomic% and z is from 0 to 15 atomic%. However, **Edelstein et al** disclose a mixture for depositing an organosilicate film with a dielectric constant lower than 3 [see paragraph 0097], which is formed by inclusion of a pore-former precursor. **Edelstein et al** further discloses wherein the structure-former precursor is an organosiloxane, wherein the organosiloxane is octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane, which satisfies the atomic percentages wherein z = 0 atomic% [see paragraph 0086]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the structure-former precursor of **Edelstein et al** in the mixture of **Ko et al** because **Edelstein et al** disclose that the material taught therein exhibits improved cohesive strength and increased robustness [see paragraph 0002].

Regarding claims 50 and 57, the prior art of **Ko et al** and **Waldfried et al** disclose the mixtures of claims 49 and 56 above, furthermore wherein the organosilane is trimethylsilane [see paragraph 0066].

Regarding claims 52 and 59, the prior art of **Ko et al** and **Waldfried et al** disclose the mixtures of claims 49 and 56 above, furthermore wherein the organosiloxane is octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane [see paragraph 0086].

Regarding claims 53 and 60, the prior art of **Ko et al** and **Waldfried et al** disclose the mixtures of claims 49 and 56 above, furthermore wherein the organosilane is diethoxymethylsilane [see paragraph 0066].

7. Claims 54 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Ko et al** (US Patent Application Publication 2001/0055891) in view of the article by **Waldfried et al**, "Single Wafer RapidCuring™ of Porous Low-k Materials," *IEEE*, 2002, pp. 226-228 and **Edelstein et al** (US Patent Application Publication 2005/0194619) as applied to claims 30, 31, 39, 44, 49, 51, 56 and 58 above, and further in view of **Li et al** (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0151031). The prior art of **Ko et al**, **Waldfried et al** and **Edelstein et al** disclose the mixtures of claims 30 and 31 above. Neither **Ko et al**, **Waldfried et al** and **Edelstein et al** disclose wherein the hydrocarbon is selected from the group consisting of alpha-terpinene, limonene, cyclohexane, gamma-terpinene, dimethylhexadiene, ethylbenzene, norbornadiene, cyclopentene oxide, 1,2,4-trimethylcyclohexane, 1,5-dimethyl-1,5-cyclooctadiene, camphene, adamantane, 1,3-butadiene, substituted dienes, alpha-pinene, beta-pinene or decahydronaphthelene. **Li et al** disclose a mixture for depositing an organosilicate film with a dielectric constant lower than 3 [see paragraph 0005], which is formed by inclusion of a pore-former precursor, or poragen, wherein the poragen may be a hydrocarbon,

including adamantane [see paragraph 0136]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the poragen disclosed by **Li et al** in the mixture of **Ko et al** because **Li et al** disclose that adamantane is one of several art-recognized useful poragen materials.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 9 May 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 11 of the Remarks, Applicants argue that "the poragens of the Ko publication are not hydrocarbons having 1 to 13 carbon atoms as recited in Applicants' claims because the disclosed poragens include a silicon atom" [emphasis in the original]. The Examiner concedes that the poragens in question include a silicon atom, however, this does not prevent the poragens from being hydrocarbons having 1 to 13 carbon atoms. Nothing in the claims prevents there being a silicon atom included in the poragen.

On pages 11-12 of the Remarks, Applicants also allege that "the disclosed poragens are also attached to the structure-forming component" [emphasis in the original]. Applicants cite paragraph 0036. However, the Examiner points to page 4, paragraph 0037, wherein **Ko et al** disclose that "the component (b) can be also added to partially hydrolyzed condensate of component (a) before forming the coating film." This indicates that the embodiment wherein component (b) is attached to component (a) is merely exemplary and non-limiting. If component (b) can be added later, it is clear that it is not part of a single molecule with component (a).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Colleen E. Rodgers whose telephone number is (571) 272-8603. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like

assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CER

CARL WHITEHEAD, JR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT BLAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800